

GOVERNANCE PLENARY

HOUSEKEEPING RESOLUTION (50%+1 TO PASS)

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Secretary of the United Conservative Association shall consolidate the special resolutions amending the Bylaws that are passed by the members, and to arrange for the consolidated Bylaws of the Association to be filed with the Corporate Registrar as soon as is reasonably possible. The Secretary may make any numerical, spelling, punctuation and grammatical changes as may be necessary to accomplish this task without altering the substance or intent of the amendments. The consolidated Bylaws shall be posted on the Party website after it has been approved and registered by the Corporate Registrar.
2. The Secretary shall consolidate the general resolutions amending the Constitutional Documents that are passed by the members and arrange for the consolidated Constitutional Documents to be posted to the Party website as soon as is reasonably possible. The Secretary may make any numerical, spelling, punctuation, and grammatical changes as may be necessary to accomplish this task without altering the substance or intent of the amendments.
3. The Secretary shall make all the necessary entries in the minute book of the Society to evidence the business conducted at this Annual General Meeting.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #1

Submitted By: Innisfail-Sylvan Lake
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 4.1

Resolution

4.1 Members shall be Canadian Citizens ~~or Permanent Residents of Canada (as defined by applicable law)~~ who:

Rationale

Since permanent residents are not eligible to vote in Provincial Elections, it stands to reason that they should not be eligible to be Association members who vote on Party matters.

Requiring Members to be Canadian citizens closes a loophole by which foreign states or non-residents could indirectly steer party platforms or nomination races through donations or membership votes.

Should this resolution be approved, Permanent Residents who are currently Members would maintain their membership until their expiration date.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #2

Submitted By: Maskwacis-Wetaskiwin
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 10.1

Resolution

10.1. The Association ~~and Party will~~ **shall** adhere to ~~certain~~ **all** constitutional documents ratified and maintained by the Members including but not limited to:

Rationale

This ties the Party to the Bylaws and Governance Documents.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #3

Submitted By:	Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Lougheed
Type:	Add
Area:	Bylaws
Article Number:	7.17

Resolution

7.17. UCP Board Directors and Officers must disclose to the UCP President any affiliations they have with domestic or foreign political entities, other political parties or foreign public interest organizations. Candidates for these positions must disclose these affiliations to the UCP Board during their application prior to being voted on to the board.

Rationale

Transparency regarding Board members' affiliations with domestic or foreign political parties and public interest organizations is essential to uphold the integrity of the United Conservative Party. Full disclosure helps prevent conflicts of interest and potential interference from foreign interest groups. It ensures accountability and reinforces public confidence in the Board's impartiality and commitment to serving Albertans' best interests.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #4

Submitted By: Innisfail-Sylvan Lake, Lacombe-Ponoka
Type: Modify
Area: Governance Manual, Code of Conduct
Article Number: 2.8

Resolution

2. PERSONS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 1 SHALL:

2.8 not be ~~reprimanded or disciplined~~ **unduly disqualified, penalized, or have membership or elected position revoked** by the Party, the UCA Board, or CA Board for exercising their freedom of expression ~~unless such expression constitutes an offence under the Criminal Code of Canada.~~ **on matters of personal conscience, including but not limited to, religious, philosophical, or moral beliefs, provided such expressions do not constitute a breach of Article 2.3 or directly contradict the Statement of Principles of the Party.**

Rationale

This Governance rule was added at the 2024 AGM and while we understand that the intention was to mitigate abuse of the Code of Conduct for limiting speech on controversial topics, the wording went too far in the other direction. It essentially renders the Code of Conduct useless by stating that no one associated with the Party can be “reprimanded or disciplined” in any way for anything they say. There are any number of damaging or unprofessional statements on a wide range of issues that are technically not a criminal offense. We sought to revise this article in a way that prevents people from being punished for discussing topics that are culturally and socially opinion or belief oriented but still allows for the discretionary use of the Code of Conduct where necessary.

For reference, Article 2.3 in the Code of Conduct states that PERSONS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 1 SHALL: comply with all applicable laws, United Conservative Association (“UCA”) Bylaws, and any other Party governance rules, processes, policies or procedures.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #5

Submitted By: Bonnie Meikle
Type: Add
Area: Bylaws; Governance Manual, Rules Governing Constituency Associations
Article Number: BL 4.11.1; GM RGCA 7.10

Resolution

Add to Bylaws:

4.11.1. An exception to 4.11 will be allowed for names and contact information of Constituency Association Officers (as defined in the Governance Manual, Rules Governing Constituency Associations) to be shared between other CA Officers upon request from a CA President. The Executive Director of the Association shall facilitate this exchange of information upon request

Add to Governance Manual, Rules Governing Constituency Associations, Constituency Association Board of Directors:

7.10. The Executive Director of the Association will grant access of Officers' names and contact information to any other CA President who requests them with the caveat that this information is to be used only in the interest of furthering communication between those CA boards. Upon election, all Officers agree for their contact information to be shared among other CA Officers and for the Executive Director to facilitate this

Rationale

Article 4.11 as written is in contravention of the Societies Act (Section 36, 36.1) which compels the Association to release specified member information to any member without reference to an NDA.

The addition of 4.11.1 brings the Article in line with the Societies Act and allows for the Association to facilitate the sharing of CA Officers' contact information with one another in the interest of building stronger communications between CAs for matters relating to the affairs of the society.

There has been a significant inability for CA Officers to communicate with Officers of other CA boards with regards to matters that are in the interest of the Association. This resolution clarifies that the Executive Director may now facilitate those communications.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #6

Submitted By: Highwood, Innisfail-Sylvan Lake, Edmonton-South West, Calgary-Klein, Samantha Steinke
Type: Modify
Area: Governance Manual, Candidate Selection Rules and Procedures
Article Number: 9.2, 9.3

Resolution

9. ABRIDGEMENT OF THE RULES

9.2. If deemed to be in the best interest of the Party, and in consultation with the PCSC **and the LCNC**, the Leader may **recommend the appointment of** up to ~~four (4)~~ **two (2)** Candidates in ~~four (4)~~ **two (2)** constituencies **in total in between any two consecutive general provincial elections. These appointments can be used for either a provincial election or a by-election. This power shall only be granted if a CA has a Candidate and the appointed Candidate must be approved by a majority vote of the Party Board.**

9.3. From the date of the writ drop in a provincial general election or by-election until the conclusion of that provincial general election or by-election, the **Party** Board authorizes:

9.3.1. the Leader to appoint a Candidate to fill a vacancy in up to ~~four (4)~~ **two (2)** constituencies **as per Article 9.2;** ~~following consultation with the President of the Party and the Regional Directors for the affected provincial electoral division;~~ and

Rationale

This resolution balances grassroots democracy with the need for strategic flexibility in exceptional circumstances.

The standard process remains that UCP candidates are chosen through open and competitive local nomination contests. However, in rare situations where a nomination contest cannot be completed, the Party must retain a limited ability to appoint candidates.

This proposal:

Caps Appointments – The Leader and Provincial Board may appoint no more than **two (2) candidates in total**, whether during a provincial general election or a by-election.

Requires Majority Approval – Any appointment must be approved by a **majority vote of the Provincial Board of Directors**.

Ensures Grassroots Consultation – Before an appointment is made, both the **Provincial Candidate Selection Committee (PCSC)** and the **local Constituency Association Board** must be consulted.

These changes protect the grassroots foundation of the UCP by ensuring that local members remain central to the candidate selection process while still allowing the Party to respond quickly to unforeseen vacancies or circumstances.

Reducing appointment authority from four to two, and requiring both consultation and Provincial Board approval, improves fairness, transparency, and accountability. It also prevents perceptions of favoritism, strengthens public confidence, and reinforces the UCP's commitment to being a member-driven, democratic party.

In short, this resolution ensures that appointments are used only as a last resort, with strong checks and balances, while respecting the voices of local members and the authority of the Provincial Board.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #7

Submitted By: Highwood, Lacombe-Ponoka
Type: Add
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 7.13.4, 7.13.4.1, 7.13.4.2, 7.13.4.3

Resolution

7.13 The Secretary will:

7.13.4 In parallel with and subject to the Member consultation process outlined in the Governance Manual, Standing Committee List 5.3 and 5.4, the Members instruct the Secretary of the United Conservative Association in consultation with PPGC to be empowered at the conclusion of each Annual General Meeting (AGM) to consolidate the resolutions amending the Constitutional Documents that are passed by the Members present.

7.13.4.1 The Secretary shall make all numerical, spelling, punctuation, grammatical changes, inconsistencies, redundancies, expired statements, sequential number listings and the use of uniform acronyms necessary to accomplish this task, for both the current AGM's passed resolutions and all previous years' resolutions.

7.13.4.2 All housekeeping amendments made as per 7.13.4.1 to the Constitutional Documents by the Secretary must not alter the intent of any submitter's resolution. If a submitter believes the intent of their resolution was altered, the submitter may use the Dispute Resolution Process to appeal the change.

7.13.4.3 The Secretary shall arrange for all amended documents as per 7.13.4.1 to be posted to the Party website as soon as reasonably possible.

Rationale

At every AGM, a motion is passed to this effect for both the Constitutional documents and the Bylaws, and it wastes the time and money of thousands of UCP Members (over 6000 Members in 2024 alone). It also wastes unnecessary lawyer fees to have a lawyer review this every year. This submission utilize the wording of motions approved at prior AGMs as vetted by the in-house UCP lawyer. This resolution will accomplish the same prior year actions while also eliminating the need for these motions to be passed at every AGM. There has also been an additional safeguard put in place in 7.13.4.2 to ensure that this authority cannot be abused. It also creates a pathway for arbitration if there ever was an abuse of power or a mistake made that requires correction. This is not a flashy resolution, but it does free up time at every AGM to allow for higher priority submissions to be considered at the Plenary sessions.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #8

Submitted By: Calgary-Edgemont, Edmonton-McClung
Type: Add
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 4.1.6, 4.12

Resolution

4.1.6. are not concurrently members of any other registered provincial political party in Alberta.

4.12. All members shall follow the below rules:

4.12.1. Any Member found to be holding membership in another registered provincial party in Alberta will have their UCP membership immediately suspended pending review; membership may then be revoked.

4.12.2. Prospective nomination contestants of the following positions must certify that they have not held membership in any other registered Alberta provincial party within the past 24 months.

4.12.2.a. A Director;

4.12.2.b. A Member in a Committee; or

4.12.2.c. A Candidate.

Rationale

Preventing Cross-Partisan Infiltration: Ensures nomination votes and internal policy debates are not skewed by individuals with divided loyalties or agendas from rival parties.

Safeguarding Ideological Cohesion: Maintains clarity of purpose and platform integrity by requiring members' full commitment to UCP principles.

Standard Practice: Many parties enforce similar exclusivity to guard against strategic dual-membership by opposing groups seeking to influence candidate selection or policy direction.

In order to follow the Policies and Procedures as stated and documented in our By-Laws, Governance Manual and Member Policy Declarations, membership in other Provincial Parties creates a Conflict of Interest. Members of other Provincial Parties have been purchasing UCP Memberships with the intent to disrupt and interfere with legitimate Party activities and goals. This is compliant with Section 9(4)(a) of the Societies Act.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #9

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore, Innisfail-Sylvan-Lake
Type: Modify
Area: Governance Manual, Candidate Selection Rules and Procedures
Article Number: 9.1

Resolution

9. ABRIDGEMENT OF THE RULES

9.1. The **Party** Board may modify the Rules ~~as necessary~~ **where such modification is necessary and supported by a two-thirds majority vote of Party Board Directors present at a duly convened meeting of the Party Board.**

Rationale

The Candidate Selection Rules have been determined by the membership and should be respected. The current language of s. 9.1 empowers a simple majority of the Party Board to modify the Candidate Selection Rules “as necessary”. If modification to the Candidate Selection Rules is truly “necessary”, then such modification should be supported by at least two thirds of the Party Board. This resolution establishes some guardrails on a broad power conferred onto the Party Board, curtailing potential for misuse.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #10

Submitted By: Calgary-Lougheed
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 5.3

Resolution

5.3 Quorum for all general meetings will be the lesser of a majority of the Members or two hundred (200). **All United Conservative Party members who have registered for a UCP AGM or SGM must be present in person at the AGM or SGM in order to vote.** ~~The Board may provide that Members not physically present at the place of the general meeting may vote on resolutions or special resolutions through the use of such technology as is deemed appropriate and such Members will be deemed present and included in the quorum.~~

Rationale

UCP members have spoken clearly before: we want voting processes that are fair, transparent, and secure. That's why we support keeping AGM voting in-person.

In person voting at UCP AGMs and SGMs:

- Protects against fraud – Verifying voter ID online is nearly impossible. In-person voting ensures that only eligible members with a voter card can cast a ballot.
- Is Fair for all members – Everyone who pays to attend gets equal access. No loopholes for group watch parties or non-member participation during debates.
- Keeps AGMs efficient – Hybrid systems slow things down and cause confusion. In-person debate and voting keeps the process clear and smooth.
- Consistent with member decisions – Just as members voted to ban electronic tabulators in provincial elections, this policy reflects our commitment to reliable, manual voting.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #11

Submitted By: Olds-Didsbury-Three Hills
Type: Add
Area: Governance Manual, Code of Conduct
Article Number: 3.1.1

Resolution

3. CONFIDENTIALITY

3.1.1 the NDA shall include a Consent to Receive Internal Communications Agreement authorizing Party communications to be sent to the Member for the purpose of providing education, training, or guidance related to the performance of their duties.

Rationale

Effective communication is essential for the Party to function cohesively, especially across its various committees and operational arms. Currently, internal communications may be hindered by uncertainty regarding who may contact whom and under what authority. This resolution addresses that gap by:

- Ensuring that staff, Board members, and committee members formally consent to receive internal Party communications;
- Clarifying that such communications may come from any authorized Party representative;
- Aligning Party practices with Alberta's Personal Information Protection Act (PIPA);
- Promoting transparency and accountability in Party operations; and
- Enabling more efficient coordination across Party governance structures.

This measure will improve the Party's operational efficiency while maintaining compliance with privacy obligations. It does not constitute a waiver of privacy rights but rather a limited and purpose-specific consent for internal administrative communications.

As a practical example, if this resolution is adopted, it will enable authorized Party representatives to share educational and training materials with the Policy Vice-Presidents of CA Boards, equipping them with the necessary tools to train their Boards in drafting compliant and high-quality policy resolutions

Vice-Presidents of CA Boards, equipping them with the necessary tools to train their Boards in drafting compliant and high-quality policy resolutions

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #12

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore, Lacombe-Ponoka
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 8.5

Resolution

8.5 No director, employee, or Member shall have any authority to act for or on behalf of the Association, Party or Legacy Parties except as specifically provided by the ~~Executive Committee~~ **Board** through adoption of a resolution or a standing rule of order.

Rationale

“Executive Committee” was the terminology used by the Wildrose Party for the board of directors. It is not used by the UCA which uses “Board” to refer the board of directors.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #13

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 7.2, 7.2.10, 7.5, 7.16

Resolution

7.2. The Board will consist of eighteen (18) voting directors and two (2) non-voting ~~directors~~ **members**.

7.2.10. Two (2) members of Caucus who will serve as non-voting ~~directors~~ **members**.

7.5. The non-voting ~~directors~~ **members** that are members of Caucus will be elected by Caucus and shall serve a term as determined by Caucus.

7.16. The non-voting ~~directors~~ **members** that are members of Caucus will serve as representatives of Caucus and will communicate the activities of the Board to Caucus and vice versa.

Rationale

As Director is defined in Article 3.1.9. to be a “voting member of the Board,” a non-voting director becomes a non-voting, voting member of the Board by substitution. That is an obvious contradiction which is corrected by the Resolution.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #14

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 7.11

Resolution

7.11. The President is the principal Officer of the Association ~~and will~~. **The President, or their designate, shall** chair all AGMs, SGMs, and meetings of the Board.

Rationale

If for some reason the President is unable or unwilling to chair a meeting, this enables them to delegate the duty to chair a meeting for which they are traditionally responsible.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #15

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 6.4

Resolution

~~6.4. Members may inspect the audited financial statements and other public filings Association on the financial disclosure website maintained by Elections Alberta and on the Party website.~~ **Audited financial statements of the Party shall be posted on the Party website.**

Rationale

1. As currently written, this is not a bylaw but a point of information. It is rewritten as a bylaw.
2. Elections Alberta posts financial statements for political parties, not societies.
3. The UCP does not post Association financial statements on the Party website, just Party financial statements.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #16

Submitted By: Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Lougheed
Type: Add
Area: Governance Manual, Rules Governing Constituency Associations
Article Number: 7.10

Resolution

7. CONSTITUENCY ASSOCIATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

7.10. UCP Constituency Directors and candidates for these positions shall disclose any affiliations with domestic or foreign political entities, other political parties or foreign public interest organizations immediately to the CA executive.

Rationale

Transparency regarding Board members' affiliations with domestic or foreign political parties and public interest organizations is essential to uphold the integrity of the United Conservative Party. Full disclosure helps prevent conflicts of interest, ensures accountability, and reinforces public confidence in the Board's impartiality and commitment to serving Albertans' best interests.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #17

Submitted By: Calgary-Glenmore
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 10.2

Resolution

~~10.2. Constitutional documents may be amended by a majority of the Members present at an AGM or SGM.~~
Constitutional documents may be amended by the Members present at an AGM or SGM as follows:

10.2.1. Article 102. Statement of Principles of the Policy Declaration shall require a vote of not less than 75%;

10.2.2. All Policy Declaration articles other than Article 102. shall require a majority vote; and

10.2.3. All Governance Manual articles shall require a vote of not less than 66 2/3%.

Rationale

The hurdles for voting are based on the hierarchy of documents of Robert's Rules of Order where the principles of an organization supersede its bylaws, rules of order, policies, etc. Our Party principles are superior to other member policy declarations and governance rules and thus they should require the highest voting hurdle to amend.

Other policy articles are not rules or bylaws but rather are the suggestions and recommendations by our Members of what they wish to see the Government of Alberta address. They are a guide to the grassroots attitudes on the issues of the day.

The Governance Manual does contain Rules/Bylaws that govern the activities of the Party and should carry the Robert's Rules of Order suggested 66 2/3 percent hurdle for such governance activities.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #18

Submitted By: Innisfail-Sylvan Lake
Type: Modify
Area: Governance Manual, Candidate Selection Rules and Procedures
Article Number: 5.4.4

Resolution

5. APPLICATION

5.4.4. a current criminal record check and authorization ~~to enable the Party to conduct its own searches to affirm that the candidate has a clear criminal record;~~ and

Rationale

The reason to ask for a record check is to determine that the candidate has a clear criminal record.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #19

Submitted By: Red Deer-South
Type: Modify
Area: Bylaws
Article Number: 4.7

Resolution

4.7.3 For the purpose of voting **in a nomination vote** at any level, voters must be a member in good standing for at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the vote. **For the purpose of voting on all other matters, voters must be a member in good standing for at least one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the vote.**

Rationale

To ensure the integrity, stability and commitment of participants in party governance, it is important that those voting at Annual General Meetings are well-informed, active and invested members of the United Conservative Party.

- **Encourages Long-Term Commitment:** A four-month requirement ensures that voting rights are exercised by individuals with a genuine and ongoing interest in the values, goals, and direction of the party.
- **Reduces the Risk of Coordinated Takeovers:** This prevents last-minute mass registrations solely intended to influence outcomes without long-term engagement in the party.
- **Promotes Informed Decision-Making:** Members who have been part of the party for at least four months are more likely to be familiar with party policies, leadership, and issues at stake, leading to more thoughtful and consistent decision-making.
- **Protects the Integrity of Democratic Processes:** By ensuring that voting members have a meaningful history with the party, this policy strengthens the internal democratic process and reflects the will of dedicated party supporters.

GOVERNANCE RESOLUTION #20

Submitted By: Calgary-Varsity, Innisfail-Sylvan Lake, Lacombe-Ponoka, Calgary-Foothills, Calgary-Beddington, Calgary-Klein
Type: Modify
Area: Governance Manual, Rules Governing Constituency Associations
Article Number: 7.9, 7.9.1, 7.9.2, 7.9.3

Resolution

7. CONSTITUENCY ASSOCIATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

7.9. Any lobbyist who is included in the Alberta Lobbyist Registry administered by the Office of the Ethics Commissioner shall ~~not be permitted to hold any position on a CA Board in Alberta.~~ **disclose their status:**

7.9.1. To the CA Board at the meeting immediately after their inclusion in the Alberta Lobbyist Registry. The CA Secretary shall reflect the Director's declaration in the minutes.

7.9.2. Prior to any General Meeting where the Member lets their name stand for election as President, CFO, Secretary, or Director-at-large, per section 6.5.

7.9.3. Prior to any CA Board meeting where the Member lets their name stand for election as President, CFO, Secretary, or Director-at-large, per section 8.18.

Rationale

Article 7.9 was added to the Governance Manual last year after a narrow margin of approval that required a vote count to verify receiving 50% in favor. The rule, as currently written, directly conflicts with the UCP Bylaws, which guarantee all members in good standing the right to run for and serve on CA boards. Banning lobbyists from UCP boards sets different standards for UCP members based on their profession. Internal rules like the Governance Manual cannot override the Bylaws, which require a 75% member vote to amend.

There are a variety of reasons for being on the Lobbyist Registry that range from paid lobbyists to volunteer positions who must register to meet with MLAs on behalf of non-profits, education groups, or religious organizations. Many lobbyists could still be valuable board members. This revised rule would introduce a disclosure requirement for lobbyists, like the process required for candidates residing outside a constituency. This ensures transparency while respecting the grassroots' right to decide whether a perceived conflict of interest exists between a candidate's occupation and their relationship to the MLA.

Instead of a blanket ban, we propose transparent disclosure, allowing constituency members to decide based on the particular situation of each nominee.

POLICY PLENARY

POLICY RESOLUTION #1

Submitted By: Karamveer Lalh
Type: Modify
Area: Industry – Insurance
Article Number: 208.8

Resolution

a. Repeal the no-fault Insurance ~~(also known as Direct Compensation for Property Damage)~~ legislation in Alberta and return to tort-based (also known as at-fault based) insurance.

Rationale

Repealing the no-fault insurance legislation in Alberta and returning to a tort-based system aligns with conservative values by promoting personal responsibility and accountability, ensuring fair compensation based on fault and reducing fraudulent claims. This approach encourages safer driving behaviours and ensures that only legitimate claims are compensated.

The phrase “also known as Direct Compensation for Property Damage” is being removed to maintain clarity and focus in the resolution.

POLICY RESOLUTION #2

Submitted By: Calgary-South East, Maskwacis-Wetaskiwin
Type: Add
Area: Government – Accountability and Transparency
Article Number: 301.1

Resolution

q. Allow only official government flags, specifically Canada, Alberta and/or official Municipality flags, to be flown on Provincial Government, Municipal Buildings or Alberta Government tax funded property.

Rationale

The flying of flags that belong to non-governmental entities or special interest groups creates ideological division amongst Albertans and negatively impacts the social fabric of our Province. The United Conservative Party of Alberta believes that it is important for the Alberta UCP Government to promote unity and patriotism.

POLICY RESOLUTION #3

Submitted By: Calgary-Buffalo
Type: Add
Area: Community – Vision
Article Number: 201.1

Resolution

h. Ensuring access to provincially-funded healthcare and social benefits for Canadian citizens, permanent residents, and recognized refugees. Temporary residents, visitors, undocumented individuals, and unsuccessful asylum-seekers should be responsible for paying for the services they utilize.

Rationale

Alberta's culture embodies personal responsibility and self-sufficiency. Canadians citizens, permanent residents and recognized refugees, including seniors, children, and those in need should not be exploited by the Federal Government's unsustainable immigration policies (including the 'Century Initiative'):

Fiscal Responsibility: Alberta's healthcare and other social benefits represent a significant portion of provincial expenditures. To preserve the future viability of these programs and maintain public trust, the needs of Canadian citizens, permanent residents, and recognized refugees should be prioritized to maintain sustainability. As numbers of temporary residents, visitors, undocumented individuals, and unsuccessful asylum-seekers exponentially increase, they are straining already-limited services.

Fairness to Residents: Canadian citizens, permanent residents, and recognized refugees, especially those who fund benefits through taxes, should be afforded priority access to these programs. Excluding temporary residents and other non-status individuals ensures equitable distribution of increasingly-constrained resources.

Provincial Autonomy: The Alberta Government has the authority to manage its own resources, prioritizing the needs of Albertans over Federal government initiatives.

Deterrence: Enforcing clear requirements for access to benefits discourages abuse of the immigration system. This reduces pressure on provincial systems and benefit programs.

POLICY RESOLUTION #4

Submitted By: Lethbridge-West
Type: Modify
Area: Education – Curriculum and Assessment
Article Number: 202.2

Resolution

e. (ii) Require that all third-party resource materials related to gender identity, sexual orientation, or human sexuality being used in an Alberta school be preapproved by the Ministry of Education **and be made available to parents of that school upon request.**

Rationale

Parents have the right to know what their children are being taught, especially on sensitive topics like gender identity and sexual orientation. This resolution promotes transparency to parents of school children, ensuring that any third-party materials used in Alberta classrooms are made available to those parents upon request. It strengthens trust, respects parental authority, and keeps education accountable to families—not just the Ministry of Education.

POLICY RESOLUTION #5

Submitted By: Calgary-Foothills, Taber-Warner
Type: Add
Area: Environmental Stewardship – Emissions Reduction
Article Number: 204.5

Resolution

c) Oppose all attempts by the federal government to impose a requirement that all new car and truck sales be zero-emission by 2035.

Rationale

Ottawa's 2035 EV Mandate Doesn't Work for Alberta

The federal government's plan to require all new light-duty vehicles sold by 2035 to be zero-emission is fundamentally flawed—and particularly unworkable for Alberta. This one-size-fits-all policy ignores the realities of our province: long travel distances, harsh winter conditions, and limited charging infrastructure in rural and remote areas. For many Albertans, especially outside major cities, the mandate risks deepening the urban-rural divide in transportation access.

In September 2025, Prime Minister Mark Carney announced a pause - at least 60 days - on the 2026 implementation. While this review offers some relief, pausing the federal government's unrealistic EV mandate is not enough. The policy must be scrapped entirely.

Affordability remains a serious concern. Even with rebates, electric vehicles are significantly more expensive than gas-powered alternatives, placing them out of reach for many middle-class families. The mandate also forces automakers into rigid sales quotas, limiting choice and potentially driving prices higher, especially given the global supply chain volatility for EV batteries and reliance on foreign critical minerals.

Equally concerning is the assumption that Alberta's electricity grid can support the surge in demand that widespread EV adoption would create. Without a concrete, costed plan to expand generation and transmission, the mandate could strain the grid, increase power costs, and threaten reliability—particularly during peak winter use.

Finally, this top-down policy from Ottawa undermines provincial jurisdiction and disregards Alberta's right to chart its own path toward emissions reduction. We need flexible, made-in-Alberta solutions that encourage innovation in multiple clean technologies—hydrogen, hybrid drivetrains, advanced biofuels—not just electric vehicles.

Albertans deserve policies that work for their realities, not rigid mandates that ignore geography, climate, and affordability. Ottawa's EV plan fails that test.

POLICY RESOLUTION #6

Submitted By: Calgary-Edgemont, Calgary-North West
Type: Add
Area: Energy – Diversification
Article Number: 203.3

Resolution

d) Support, invest, and advocate for new oil and natural gas pipelines to the west coast, far north, and Eastern Canada.

Rationale

Alberta Needs Pipelines—East, West, and North

Alberta has waited long enough. Our oil and gas industry powers Canada's economy, yet federal policies have boxed us in—stranding our resources while Ottawa caters to activists and delays critical infrastructure.

Premier Danielle Smith is right to demand a new energy corridor strategy that gets Alberta oil and LNG (Liquid Natural Gas) to global markets in all directions.

To the west, she proposes a new pipeline to Prince Rupert, B.C.—a faster, more efficient Pacific export route. While the Trans Mountain expansion offers relief, it is not enough. That's why Alberta needs a proposed a new 1 million barrels-per-day pipeline terminating at Prince Rupert, British Columbia—a strategic port closer to Asian markets.

To the east, Alberta should lead the way to revive the Energy East concept, including routes through Churchill, Manitoba, or to Atlantic Canada. This would give us access to European and eastern markets, not just the U.S.

To the north, Smith is exploring a route to Grays Bay, Nunavut, opening the Arctic as a strategic export gateway for oil, gas, and critical minerals.

Together, these corridors would help reassert Canadian energy independence, reduce our reliance on the United States as a sole customer, and provide Indigenous and northern communities with economic opportunities.

These aren't pipe dreams. They're nation-building projects. And Alberta is ready to work with private investors—offering royalty-in-kind incentives to help get it done.

We don't need handouts—we need pathways, pipelines, and Ottawa to get out of the way.

POLICY RESOLUTION #7

Submitted By:	Lacombe-Ponoka
Type:	Modify
Area:	Statement of Principles
Article Number:	102.

Resolution

f. Protecting public safety as a primary responsibility of government, **namely by reducing crime and defending the rights of its citizens against those who would infringe upon them.**

Rationale

This modifies statement f. in our Statement of Principles. Encouraging governments to protect public safety without qualification can lead to harmful overreach. It further does nothing to distinguish our party from any other, which would all support the statement as it stands, but would interpret its intent and allowances in ways that contradict traditional conservative values. We have seen that even conservative party leaders require clearer mandates on public safety.

POLICY RESOLUTION #8

Submitted By: Calgary-Foothills, Edmonton-Whitemud, Innisfail-Sylvan Lake, St. Albert, Taber-Warner
Type: Modify
Area: Finance – Transfer Payments
Article Number: 205.5

Resolution

- b. Replace the Canada Pension Plan with an Alberta Pension Plan that guarantees Alberta seniors the same or better benefits than the Canada Pension Plan while also reducing contributions paid by Albertans.
- c. Begin the process of creating the policy framework and infrastructure for an Alberta Pension Plan while the issue of replacing the Canada Pension Plan is resolved.

This resolution replaces section 205 Finance .5 Transfer Payments original wording as follows:

- ~~b. Withdraw its share of funds from the existing Canada Pension Plan and start an Alberta Pension Plan.~~

Rationale

Building Public Trust for an Alberta Pension Plan

Despite strong efforts by several Alberta-based conservative grassroots groups, the idea of replacing the Canada Pension Plan (CPP) with an Alberta Pension Plan (APP) has faced significant resistance—particularly from seniors. Public opinion polls conducted by multiple leading national research firms consistently show that only 15% to 25% of Albertans support leaving the CPP.

However, recent public opinion research commissioned by the Government of Alberta found a notable shift in sentiment. When respondents were assured that an APP would guarantee equal or better benefits than the CPP for Alberta seniors, support rose to 55%.

In addition to reassuring retirees, proponents of an Alberta Pension Plan must also connect with working Albertans who are increasingly burdened by rising CPP contributions. For many, higher payroll deductions are making it harder to afford basic necessities like food and housing. An APP that promises lower contribution rates could help make the case by directly addressing the financial strain many Albertans face.

To date, the campaign for an Alberta Pension Plan has leaned too heavily on logic, financial projections, and actuarial data—failing to adequately address the emotional concerns and anxieties of everyday Albertans. Building trust and public buy-in requires more than numbers; it requires empathy, reassurance, and a clear message that the APP would protect and improve the retirement security of all Albertans.

Create the Policy and Infrastructure for an Alberta Pension Plan

Transitioning out of the CPP cannot happen overnight, it will take three to five years to transition to an Alberta Pension Plan.

20 years ago, CPP had only 150 employees, with operating costs of \$118 million. Today, the CPP Investment Board has ballooned to more than 2,100 employees with \$6 billion operating costs.

If Alberta opted to commence our own pension plan, the fund would need to be efficiently managed out of Alberta by Albertans, with investments managed exclusively on maximizing return, rather than adjusting for carbon dioxide and DEI objectives.

Since Alberta will need to build the infrastructure and policies for a provincial pension plan if it leaves CPP, it makes sense to begin that work now. Even if Alberta were to leave the CPP without receiving its full share of assets, a well-designed Alberta Pension Plan with world class infrastructure and investment policies would still offer better long-term outcomes for all Albertans on day 1.

POLICY RESOLUTION #9

Submitted By: JP Brouwer
Type: Add
Area: Justice – Legal Reforms
Article Number: 401.4

Resolution

b. Improve the protection of Albertans by increasing the legal capacity for each citizen to protect self, family, and property.

Rationale

1. Improving the legal framework for protection of self, family, and property would help to discourage crime.
2. Albertans are better protected when they are legally supported to withstand criminal acts.

POLICY RESOLUTION #10

Submitted By: Sherwood Park
Type: Add
Area: Environmental Stewardship – Vision
Article Number: 204.1

Resolution

d. Abandon/rescind net-zero laws, regulations, policies and agreements.

Rationale

Recognizing that earth has a chaotic, random, climate system with poorly understood drivers; and that GHG's produce limited to no demonstratable climate change, and are a major cause of bad policy;

Then the following should be implemented:

Dismantle laws, regulations, agreements, and initiatives that hinder the development of our resources based on net-zero,

Recognize, based on a 2015-2020 satellite study by NASA that Canada's forests, grasslands, agricultural lands and wastelands remove more CO₂ from the atmosphere than Canada emits (Canada is already net-zero), and

For eons humans have adapted to climate change and to maintain this ability, policy makers must emphasize economic development, economically reliable energy, food production, and abandon net-zero targets.

POLICY RESOLUTION #11

Submitted By:	Strathcona-Sherwood Park
Type:	Add
Area:	Statement of Principles
Article Number:	102.

Resolution

i. Promote responsible fiscal management, protect individual freedoms, and support policies that strengthen families and communities across the province.

Rationale

Albertans value personal liberty, fiscal responsibility, and strong families. By limiting government overreach, reducing debt, and upholding community values, Alberta can build a resilient and prosperous society. This resolution promotes principled, inclusive governance rooted in freedom, responsibility, and respect for diversity.

POLICY RESOLUTION #12

Submitted By: Calgary-North West
Type: Add
Area: Environmental Stewardship – Emissions Reduction
Article Number: 204.5

Resolution

c. Defend Alberta's economy and autonomy by opposing all attempts by the Federal government to regulate or legislate on industrial carbon emissions within Alberta's boundaries.

Rationale

Alberta Must Stand Firm Against Federal Overreach on Carbon Regulation

The Government of Alberta faces a pivotal challenge as the federal government continues to push aggressive legislation aimed at capping industrial carbon emissions. While climate action is important, these one-size-fits-all federal policies ignore Alberta's unique economy, geography, and energy landscape. More importantly, they risk trampling provincial constitutional rights and undermining Alberta's resource-based industries.

Fortunately, Alberta is not without tools to push back.

First, Alberta can launch a legal challenge, as it successfully did against the federal Impact Assessment Act. The Constitution grants provinces exclusive authority over the development of natural resources and industrial regulation. Any attempt by Ottawa to legislate emissions caps on Alberta's industries can—and should—be tested in court as a violation of provincial jurisdiction.

Second, the Alberta government can assert its authority through legislation like the Sovereignty Within a United Canada Act, which empowers the province to resist federal policies deemed unconstitutional or harmful.

Third, Alberta should continue to lead with its own solutions. The TIER (Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction) program is a made-in-Alberta approach that balances emissions reduction with economic growth. By strengthening and expanding such initiatives, Alberta can demonstrate credible, alternative pathways that reflect local realities.

Finally, the Alberta government must engage the public and industry. Clear communication about what's at stake—jobs, energy security, and provincial sovereignty—will help build support for provincial action and hold Ottawa accountable for respecting the Constitution.

This is not about rejecting climate action; it's about ensuring the path forward respects Alberta's rights, industries, and people. The federal government must work with provinces—not against them—to achieve results. Alberta should not hesitate to use every available tool to defend its jurisdiction and protect its economic future.

POLICY RESOLUTION #13

Submitted By: Annette Brunet-Messerschmidt
Type: Add
Area: Rights – Infringement Protection
Article Number: 403.1

Resolution

j. Advocate for provincial jurisdiction over the ownership and use of firearms with the understanding that property is an unalienable right.

Rationale

Many Albertans believe firearms should be considered property and therefore under provincial jurisdiction. Section 92.13 of the BNA/Constitution Act gives provinces exclusive jurisdiction over “property and civil rights in the province”.

To illustrate, firearms and vehicles are similar forms of property. The use of both involves safety considerations and conditions of ownership and use, yet the federal government does not regulate vehicle ownership/use despite many more accidental deaths and injuries resulting from the legal use of motor vehicles than from legally owned firearms.

Albertans want our property upheld as an unalienable right.

POLICY RESOLUTION #14

Submitted By: Taber-Warner
Type: Add
Area: Energy – Resource Strategy
Article Number: 203.2

Resolution

g. Permit the use of clean coal in Alberta as a source of electricity.

Rationale

Canadian coal has been clean for decades. It was a mistake to cut clean coal as it is the most affordable form of energy. Restricting the use of Alberta clean coal within Alberta has not reduced its use on a worldwide basis, as it is still mined and exported to other countries, whose standards for clean coal are far below ours.

POLICY RESOLUTION #15

Submitted By: Innisfail-Sylvan Lake
Type: Add
Area: Justice – Legal Reforms
Article Number: 401.4

Resolution

b. Strengthen landowner authority in contract conflicts with renters by prohibiting adverse possession claims (squatter rights) and streamlining the process for landlords to regain possession of their property in cases of lease violations or unauthorized occupation.

Rationale

In Alberta, current legislation like the Residential Tenancies Act offers considerable protections for tenants, which, while intended to ensure fair treatment, may at times hinder property owners from enforcing their contractual rights. Furthermore, although Alberta does not currently allow traditional adverse possessions under the Land Titles Act for registered land, common law principles and judicial interpretation can sometimes lead to de facto protections for unlawful occupants, creating uncertainty for landowners.

Action taken by government on this issue will restore landlord confidence and should help shift more properties from current short-term rentals to long-term rental markets, helping to ease Alberta's housing shortage. Furthermore, streamlining the eviction process will reduce reliance on costly property management services, lowering overhead and making long-term rentals more affordable for tenants.

POLICY RESOLUTION #16

Submitted By: Edmonton-South West
Type: Modify
Area: Labour and Employment – Immigration
Article Number: 402.4

Resolution

a. Negotiate with the federal government to create an accord with Alberta that grants our province as great or greater control over immigration **as the province of Quebec**, including total numbers of temporary and permanent residents, and active participation in the selection and rejection of those being granted temporary or permanent settlement rights in Alberta through the immigration process.

Rationale

Canada was founded on the constitutional principle that all provinces are equal under confederation. The Constitution Act of 1867, as well as the Supreme Court of Canada's repeated affirmations, recognizes that no province holds inherent privileges over another within the federation, except where specific negotiated arrangements apply.

Currently, under existing federal-provincial agreements, the province of Quebec enjoys far greater control over immigration than any other province, through accords negotiated in the 1990s. Quebec has the ability to set its own levels of immigration (temporary and permanent), exercise significant autonomy in immigrant selection and rejection, and design programs to support integration—well beyond what Alberta can presently exercise.

Given Alberta's growing population, economic strength, and increasing role in national immigration targets, it is only fair and consistent with Canada's founding principles that Alberta has the opportunity to exercise equal or greater control over its immigration programs if it so chooses. No province should be arbitrarily limited to a lesser degree of self-determination than another in this critical area of public policy.

Aligning Alberta's position with the Quebec standard would also reflect UCP policy principles as affirmed in:

- Section 301.2 DEMOCRACY: the right of Albertans to self-determination in key governance matters,
- Section 401 JUSTICE: equal treatment under the law,
- Section 402 IMMIGRATION: recognizing Alberta's need for greater authority over immigration.

This amendment provides needed clarity and a measurable target—matching or exceeding Quebec's level of control—which strengthens Alberta's negotiation position with the federal government. It also makes explicit that Alberta seeks no more and no less than what another province has already been granted.

Adopting this revision will help affirm the UCP's commitment to fair federalism, provincial equality, and practical solutions that serve Alberta's economic and demographic needs.

POLICY RESOLUTION #17

Submitted By: Airdrie-East
Type: Add
Area: Education – Curriculum and Assessment
Article Number: 202.2

Resolution

i. Allow students to only be advanced to the next grade level or course in accordance with academic skills proficiency for assigned content in all grades.

Rationale

Students failing to successfully complete competencies for grade or course level content fall further behind in subsequent years which is psychologically more damaging than holding a student back a grade or having them repeat a course.

POLICY RESOLUTION #18

Submitted By: Strathcona-Sherwood Park
Type: Add
Area: Education – Primary and Secondary
Article Number: 202.5

Resolution

i. Should review the use of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) programs, policies, and consultants in Alberta's K–12 education system to ensure that instructional practices remain politically neutral, inclusive, and focused on academic excellence.

Rationale

Public schools serve diverse communities and should maintain a politically neutral stance. Approaches that categorize students by race or identity can unintentionally create division or discomfort and undermine the goal of fostering mutual respect. Instead, schools should focus on creating inclusive environments where all students feel valued and heard.

POLICY RESOLUTION #19

Submitted By:	Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Buffalo, Calgary-Fish Creek, Calgary-South East, Highwood, Lac Ste. Anne-Parkland, St. Albert, Strathcona-Sherwood Park
Type:	Add
Area:	Health – Primary Care Delivery and Human Resources
Article Number:	206.3

Resolution

e. Adopt health care improvements by implementing all recommendations from the January 2025 Alberta Pandemic Data Review Task Force Report and also:

i. prohibit vaccination from being used as a condition of employment or membership in any provincially-funded institution, organization, ministry, agency, professional association, or regulatory bodies governed by provincial legislation,

ii. educate the public about vaccine injuries attributed to the Covid-19 mRNA injections and provide physicians in the province with peer reviewed preventative and detoxing protocols that have been published since these vaccines were administered to the public at large, and

iii. ensure equal access to health care for all Albertans, regardless of vaccination, physical, or genetic status.

Rationale

The Alberta UCP has outlined a vision for a health care system that prioritizes access to safe therapeutics and respects individual choice. The Alberta Pandemic Data Review Task Force Report provides several recommendations to achieve this. Key priorities include:

Pause the use of mRNA vaccines in Alberta until safety is independently verified.

Establish clear protocols for full disclosure of vaccine safety and effectiveness to ensure informed consent.

Ensure access to safe repurposed therapeutics, both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical.

Protect physicians' rights to collaborate with patients on treatment decisions and to participate in public discourse without reprisal.

Currently, Albertans lack full access to information necessary for informed health decisions, particularly regarding mRNA vaccines. Data on vaccine safety, including injuries and adverse outcomes, is incomplete. Greater transparency and accessibility of information are needed.

Several jurisdictions have already adopted similar measures, such as suspending certain COVID-19 vaccines. In Canada, vaccine mandates remain in some sectors, and access to medical treatment, including organ transplants, has at times been affected by vaccine status. These issues highlight the need for policies that ensure transparency, protect choice, and avoid discrimination based on vaccination status.

POLICY RESOLUTION #20

Submitted By: Vermilion-Lloydminster-Wainwright
Type: Add
Area: Education – Professional Practice and Accountability
Article Number: 202.6

Resolution

i. Affirm that parents will receive full disclosure of their children's participation in school facilitated extracurricular groups.

Rationale

While in power, a former government required School Boards to add policy mandating the approval of Gay Straight Alliance Clubs in schools. While no longer mandated, some school boards have not taken the initiative to remove the policy.

There is wording included in the formerly mandated policy that creates secrecy from parents.

“The principal is responsible for ensuring that notification, if any, respecting a voluntary student organization or activity referred to in subsection (1) is limited to the fact of the establishment of the organization or the holding of the activity, and is otherwise consistent with the usual practices relating to notifications of other student organizations and activities”

School boards who have left the policy in place, interpret privacy policies to imply that teachers withhold information from the legally recognized parents or guardians. Given that a teacher can engage a professional to ascertain if a child is in danger from a parent, and in that case, is allowed to not share information, there should be no reason for the teacher to keep secrets from an interested parent.

Administration/ Boards should not create, maintain, or enforce any policies that require teachers to withhold information from such legally recognized parents or guardians.”

POLICY RESOLUTION #21

Submitted By: Eldon Barrand
Type: Add
Area: Rights – Vision
Article Number: 403.1

Resolution

j. Stand against any federal legislation, laws, acts or infringement, that will impede or impose limitations in any way, on Albertans civil rights to freedom and prosperity.

Rationale

Ability to live their lives, under God, as they see fit. To stand against socialism and communism in all its forms.

This country has strayed so far left it is unrecognizable from my youth. We owe it to our children and grandchildren to bring that wholesome freedom back to their lives.

Capitalism, although not perfect, is the best system in the world for the common man. It is worth fighting for.

POLICY RESOLUTION #22

Submitted By: Lac Ste. Anne-Parkland
Type: Add
Area: Education – Post-Secondary and Trades
Article Number: 202.4

Resolution

i. Work with Alberta Post-Secondary Institutions to limit International Student intake so as to ensure eligible Albertan students get first priority for spaces and secondly eligible Canadian students from other provinces, with eligibility based on the minimum requirements of the program of study.

Rationale

Alberta's 2025 cap for Provincial Attestation Letter (PAL) required spots is 32,660 for International Students. The total number of PAL's issued in 2024 was 40,894. In 2023, International Student Study Permit Holders numbered 63,425 and in 2022 numbered 44,766. International Students already studying in Canada are not required to apply for a PAL so the actual number of International Students currently studying in Alberta is significantly higher than 32,660 and based on the numbers of previous years is reflective of the reason Albertans feel like a minority in Alberta Post-Secondary Institutions.

International Students require housing, transportation, healthcare, and jobs - being legally allowed to work up to 20 hours per week during their programs and full-time in between programs of study. These realities have put excessive strain on infrastructure systems and have contributed to the high unemployment rate of 20.1% for returning students under 25 years old.

Additionally, the real cost of the education programs should be covered by the International Students' tuition fees. The tax-paying citizens of Alberta should not be subsidizing International Students' education or paying for their healthcare.

Albertans, and secondarily Canadians, are being pushed to waiting lists for their programs of choice or are having to study internationally themselves to pursue post-secondary education. The number of International Students in Alberta is unsustainable and should be decreased.

POLICY RESOLUTION #23

Submitted By: Calgary-South East
Type: Add
Area: Intergovernmental – Federal
Article Number: 302.2

Resolution

f. Provide intergovernmental advocacy to ensure that lab grown meat will not be sold in Alberta without proper identification and clear labelling.

Rationale

Lab grown meat is being developed using stem cells, and is being touted for its potential for being more sustainable, humane, and potentially healthier than traditional meat production.

Long term health effects of consumption of lab grown meat or other food products are not currently known . In order to ensure safety and transparency, the UCP Government should advocate to ensure that all meat or any other products produced in a lab must be labelled as such, with clear details as to package contents.

POLICY RESOLUTION #24

Submitted By: Maskwacis-Wetaskiwin
Type: Modify
Area: Rights – Property
Article Number: 403.2

Resolution

~~b. Strengthen landowner rights.~~

b. Amend the Alberta Land Stewardship Act to increase landowner private property rights.

Rationale

Faults in the Alberta Land Stewardship Act includes lack of meaningful consultation, erosion of property rights, inadequate compensation and an unclear decision-making process.

ALSA also lacks transparency and clarity while also excluding landowners from being decision makers.

POLICY RESOLUTION #25

Submitted By: Red Deer-South
Type: Add
Area: Community – Community Safety
Article Number: 201.4

Resolution

c. Prioritize public safety in addressing homelessness and addiction in public spaces.

Rationale

Encampments, tents, and makeshift shelters within city and town limits create significant health and safety risks for both vulnerable individuals and the broader community. Law enforcement should be supported in upholding existing laws while ensuring that individuals in need are directed toward rehabilitation, treatment, and safe housing options. No individual should be permitted to erect or occupy unsafe shelters in public spaces, as this poses risks to themselves and others.

POLICY RESOLUTION #26

Submitted By: Calgary-Acadia, Chestermere-Strathmore, Taber-Warner
Type: Add
Area: Rights – Infringement Protection
Article Number: 403.1

Resolution

j. Defend Albertan's freedom of assembly by declaring all places of Worship and their outreach as Essential Services.

Rationale

The United Conservative Party affirms its commitment to the Charter-protected freedoms of conscience, religion, and peaceful assembly. Declaring all places of worship and their outreach activities as essential services ensures these fundamental rights are protected, particularly during times of crisis or emergency.

Places of worship serve far more than a spiritual role—they are hubs of social cohesion, mental health support, and charitable outreach. Throughout Alberta, churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, and other faith communities provide food banks, addiction recovery programs, youth mentorship, grief counselling, immigrant support, and care for the homeless. These services cannot be easily replicated by the government or closed without severe consequences for vulnerable populations.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, many Albertans experienced isolation, depression, and spiritual distress. The prolonged closure or restriction of faith gatherings caused deep harm to individuals and communities, especially in rural and immigrant populations. Meanwhile, jurisdictions like Florida and Texas that formally recognized places of worship as essential services helped maintain community resilience and social trust.

By proactively recognizing the essential role of religious institutions, Alberta sets a precedent for principled leadership—grounded in constitutional liberties, responsive governance, and recognition of faith-based contributions to public well-being. This resolution strengthens Alberta's defense of civil liberties and ensures that no future emergency order can arbitrarily override the spiritual and social lifelines that places of worship provide.

POLICY RESOLUTION #27

Submitted By:	Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Buffer, Calgary-Fish Creek, Calgary-Mountain View, Leduc-Beaumont
Type:	Add
Area:	Environmental Stewardship – Land and Water
Article Number:	204.3

Resolution

c. End the Practice of Community Water Fluoridation.

Rationale

Community water fluoridation constitutes medical treatment without consent, which is contrary to the Alberta Bill of Rights, which applies to the Legislature and government of Alberta in respect of all matters within the authority of the Legislature of Alberta. In Alberta, drinking water (including fluoride content) is regulated by Alberta Environment. In order to begin water fluoridation, municipalities must apply to Alberta Environment for an amendment to their Water License for their water treatment plants, to authorize the addition of fluoride to their drinking water supply.

The Supreme Court of Canada and the Supreme Court of New Zealand acknowledged that fluoridation constitutes non-consensual medical treatment. The Court also noted that the inability to avoid fluoridated water in practice makes the treatment effectively compulsory.

Several jurisdictions, including Utah and Florida, have recently banned community water fluoridation on the grounds that it constitutes medical treatment without informed consent. By contrast, Alberta continues to authorize municipalities to medicate entire populations, thereby denying citizens the right to refuse medical treatment—a right expressly affirmed in the Alberta Bill of Rights.

Scientific evidence is increasingly raising red flags. A recent meta-analysis published in JAMA Pediatrics by researchers from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the National Toxicology Program (NTP) reviewed 74 epidemiological studies. The findings confirm a consistent association between fluoride exposure and reduced IQ in children, with greater cognitive impacts observed from exposure during pregnancy and early childhood. Further, in Food & Water Watch v. U.S. EPA (2024), the U.S. District Court concluded that water fluoridation at the level of 0.7 mg/L presents an “unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment.”

The province of Alberta has some of the cleanest and purest natural water supplies in the world. Yet the chemical used for fluoridation—hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFSA)—is an industrial byproduct, not a pharmaceutical-grade substance. HFSA is not contaminant-free; it routinely contains measurable levels of arsenic, lead, aluminum, and other toxic heavy metals. Through community water fluoridation, thousands of tonnes of HFSA are introduced into Alberta’s drinking water systems and ultimately into our environment.

POLICY RESOLUTION #28

Submitted By: Athabasca-Barrhead-Westlock, Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Peigan,
Cardston-Siksika
Type: Add
Area: Health – Primary Care Delivery and Human Resources
Article Number: 206.3

Resolution

e. Cease public funding for third-trimester abortions, except in cases where the physical health of the mother is at serious risk.

Rationale

Alberta remains one of the only jurisdictions in the developed world without limitations on the public funding of late-term abortions, placing us alongside regimes like North Korea. This resolution does not seek to restrict access to third-trimester abortions outright but rather to establish a responsible, values-based funding policy.

Medical evidence confirms that later-term abortions are painful to the fetus and carry significantly higher risks to maternal health. By limiting taxpayer funding to medically necessary cases in the third trimester, this policy encourages earlier decision-making when abortion is safer for the mother and less ethically contentious. It also reflects the reasonable expectations of the majority of Albertans, who support a more balanced and principled approach to public healthcare spending.

POLICY RESOLUTION #29

Submitted By: Calgary-Currie
Type: Modify
Area: Rights – Property
Article Number: 403.2

Resolution

b. Strengthen landowner rights **including:**

i. Maintaining that ownership of provincial land remains in the hands of Canadian citizens or permanent residents.

Rationale

Rationale and Benefits:

Protecting Food Security: Ensuring Alberta's agricultural land remains in Canadian hands is vital for our long-term food sovereignty and the viability of our family farms.

Affordable Land Access for Albertans: Reducing speculative purchasing by foreign entities will help maintain affordable land prices for young Albertan farmers, entrepreneurs, and families seeking to own property.

Sovereignty and Stewardship: This policy asserts Alberta's control over its own territory, ensuring that decisions regarding land use and development align with the best interests of Albertans and are subject to Alberta's democratic processes and regulatory oversight.

Preventing Foreign Influence: Limiting foreign ownership mitigates potential risks associated with foreign governments or entities using land ownership to exert undue influence on Alberta's economy or policies.

Supporting Domestic Investment: This policy encourages and prioritizes investment by Albertans and Canadians in our own land and resources.

POLICY RESOLUTION #30

Submitted By: Calgary-North West
Type: Add
Area: Environmental Stewardship – Emissions Reduction
Article Number: 204.5

Resolution

c) Defend and protect Alberta's major employers by opposing the federal government legislation and regulation to limit what energy companies can say about their emissions, environmental performance, and climate goals.

Rationale

Ottawa Shouldn't Police Alberta's Energy Voice

Alberta's oil and gas industry is once again in Ottawa's crosshairs—this time over what it's allowed to say. Through the Competition Bureau and other federal agencies, the Federal government is now investigating how companies describe their emissions, environmental performance, and climate goals.

Under the guise of fighting “greenwashing,” federal regulators are targeting oil producers for using terms like “clean energy” or “net-zero” — even when such claims are backed by real data and substantial investment in technologies like carbon capture and methane reduction.

This isn't about truth in advertising. It's about controlling the narrative and discrediting an industry that refuses to fold under political pressure. Meanwhile, activist groups face no scrutiny for their often-misleading attacks on Alberta's energy sector.

Alberta companies are global leaders in clean energy innovation. They should be encouraged to share their progress—not muzzled by bureaucrats in Ottawa who neither understand nor respect the industry.

Climate policy must reflect regional realities. Alberta's energy sector is not the same as downtown Toronto politics. Our companies are already held to the highest standards. They don't need censorship disguised as regulation. Let Alberta's Energy Company's and industry speak. Let the facts speak. And let Alberta lead.

POLICY RESOLUTION #31

Submitted By: Calgary-South East
Type: Add
Area: Intergovernmental – Federal
Article Number: 302.2

Resolution

f. Provide intergovernmental advocacy to ensure that mRNA products are not being injected into our livestock or food sources in Alberta.

Rationale

Experimentation is being conducted using mRNA products in livestock. The Cleveland Clinic describes an mRNA vaccine as "a preventative treatment that trains your body to fight infectious diseases". However, mRNA technology has never been proven to be safe, and some studies are now showing potential harm. The Government of Alberta should ensure that Albertans are not needlessly exposed to potential health risks with this type of experimentation.

POLICY RESOLUTION #32

Submitted By: Calgary-Foothills
Type: Modify
Area: Justice – Policing
Article Number: 401.5

Resolution

b. Purchase the assets and operations of RCMP K-Division to create an Alberta Police Force that would replace services currently offered by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP).

This resolution also modifies the existing Member Policy Declaration 401 Justice .1 Vision:

~~b. Create an Alberta provincial police force to augment or replace services currently offered by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP).~~

Rationale

Previous efforts to create an Alberta police force faced public opposition because they aimed to replace the RCMP. This new approach—acquiring RCMP K Division—would retain the experienced officers and assets that have long served Alberta with distinction.

We thank the front-line RCMP officers for their dedication to protecting our families and communities.

Recent experience from Surrey British Columbia, highlighted the pitfalls of replacing the RCMP with an entirely new service: Higher than expected costs, duplication of effort, disagreements over jurisdiction and responsibilities, recruitment and staffing, training, low morale within both police services, and lower community trust. Purchasing the assets and operations of RCMP K-division avoids these challenges.

In March 2025, the federal government released A New Policing Vision for Canada: Modernizing the RCMP, which recommends transitioning contract policing responsibilities to the provinces.

Quoting from the report; “Contract policing in the RCMP refers to the provision of front-line policing services by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police under agreements with provinces, territories, and municipalities. Essentially, the RCMP acts as the local police force in these areas, offering a range of services like patrolling, traffic enforcement, and criminal investigations.” In Alberta these services are provided by RCMP K Division.

The report concludes with the following: “The federal government should be committed to working closely with Provinces to support a transition away from contract policing. The work to define provincial needs and solutions should begin now. The provinces have the needed expertise and knowledge and should be on a path to fully exercising their responsibilities over policing. They are best placed to define their own policing models, including interactions with the social services and programs that they deliver, with a view to better outcomes and service delivery for communities.

POLICY RESOLUTION #33

Submitted By: Maskwacis-Wetaskiwin
Type: Add
Area: Finance - Expenditures
Article Number: 205.3

Resolution

c. Require all associations and societies that directly or indirectly receive revenue from public funding to publicly disclose their financial records.

Rationale

Albertans deserve transparency and accountability in the use of public funds. Requiring all associations and societies that receive a significant portion of their revenue from government funding to publicly disclose their financial records ensures that taxpayers can know how their money is being used. This change will help build public trust, discourage financial mismanagement, and promote responsible fiscal practices among publicly funded organizations.

POLICY RESOLUTION #34

Submitted By: Calgary-Acadia, Calgary-Lougheed
Type: Add
Area: Government – Accountability and Transparency
Article Number: 301.1

Resolution

q. Pass legislation to amend the current oaths of office for all elected officials in the province, to swear to serve the people of Alberta with integrity and justice, and to uphold the Constitution, the rights and freedoms of all Albertans, and the laws of this province.

Rationale

This additional oath would affirm an individual's commitment to serve the people of Alberta with integrity and fairness, and to uphold the Constitution; and the rights and laws of Alberta.

This oath would be taken in addition to any existing oath to the Crown, such as "I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to His Majesty King Charles III."

This approach respects tradition by retaining the oath to the Crown.

It acknowledges the sovereignty and dignity of the people of Alberta, emphasizing a modern civic duty.

It creates space for those, including Indigenous leaders and public servants, who may find the Crown oath inconsistent with their cultural or political values.

Many jurisdictions have adopted a dual-oath approach, recognizing the need to balance historical continuity with inclusive civic values.

This will add accountability for elected officials.

POLICY RESOLUTION #35

Submitted By: Airdrie-East
Type: Add
Area: Government – Service Alberta
Article Number: 301.4

Resolution

a. Mandate that all levels of testing for Alberta drivers' licenses be administered in English or French only.

Rationale

Currently the governments of Canada and Alberta recognize only two official languages. Road signs and directions in Alberta are in English or French. The ability to read road signs is imperative for the safety of all on the road.